Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/721,573	LOONG, LOW YAU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phallaka Kik	2825
All Participants:	Status of Application: pen	ding
(1) <u>Phallaka Kik</u> .	(3)	
(2) Babak S. Sani (Reg. No. 37,495).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 October 2005	Time: <u>4:45PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 3-5,10,13-14,21,23		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In response to the Examiner's indication that claims 3-5,10,13-14,21,23 have minor informalities problems, Applicant's Representative, Babak S. Sani, authorizes the Examiner to amend the claims as given in the attached Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Whallake Mil		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)